

10.11 Let  $\underline{v} \in V$  be a vector with  $\underline{v} \notin \text{span}\{\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_n\}$  and suppose that

$$c_0 \underline{v} + c_1 \underline{v}_1 + \dots + c_n \underline{v}_n = \underline{0},$$

for some numbers  $c_0, \dots, c_n$ . Assume that  $c_0 \neq 0$ . Then

$$\underline{v} = -\frac{c_1}{c_0} \underline{v}_1 + \dots + \left(-\frac{c_n}{c_0}\right) \underline{v}_n.$$

This is in contradiction with the fact that  $\underline{v} \notin \text{span}\{\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_n\}$ .

So  $c_0 = 0$ . Then, however,  $c_1 \underline{v}_1 + \dots + c_n \underline{v}_n = \underline{0}$ . Because the vectors  $\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_n$  are linearly independent, this implies that  $c_1 = \dots = c_n = 0$ . So the vectors  $\underline{v}, \underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_n$  are linearly independent.

10.13 (a) The set of solutions of the 'system'  $3x - 2y + 5z = 0$  is

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3}y - \frac{5}{3}z \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \mid y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = \left\{ y \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + z \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{5}{3} \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \mid y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{5}{3} \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Because the two vectors  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{5}{3} \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  are linearly independent, they form a basis.

(b) In fact we are dealing with the set

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2t \\ -t \\ 4t \end{bmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = \left\{ t \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Hence, the vector  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$  forms a basis.

10.16 Let  $A$  be the matrix with as columns the vectors  $\underline{v}_1, \underline{v}_2, \underline{v}_3$  and  $\underline{v}_4$ :  $A = [\underline{v}_1 \ \underline{v}_2 \ \underline{v}_3 \ \underline{v}_4]$ .

Then  $\text{span}\{\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_4\} = \text{Col}(A)$ . Reduction of the matrix  $A$  leads to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 & -1 & -5 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 7 & 9 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 & -1 & -5 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\ 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 & -1 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the columns 1 and 2 of this matrix contain the leading ones, the vectors  $\underline{v}_1$  and  $\underline{v}_2$  form a basis of the span of the given vectors.

Furthermore,  $\underline{v}_3 = 2\underline{v}_1 + \underline{v}_2$  and  $\underline{v}_4 = -2\underline{v}_1 + \underline{v}_2$ .

10.18 (a) By using the fact that  $T$  is a linear mapping, we obtain

$$T(\underline{e}_1) = T(\underline{v}_3) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$T(\underline{e}_2) = T(\underline{v}_2 - \underline{v}_3) = T(\underline{v}_2) - T(\underline{v}_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$T(\underline{e}_3) = T(\underline{v}_1 - \underline{v}_2) = T(\underline{v}_1) - T(\underline{v}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) According to part (a), the standard matrix of the mapping  $T$  is

$$A = [T(\underline{e}_1) \quad T(\underline{e}_2) \quad T(\underline{e}_3)] = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 & -1 \\ 5 & -5 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(c) Since  $\text{Ker}(T) = \text{Null}(A)$ , we reduce the matrix  $A$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 & -1 \\ 5 & -5 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 15 & -6 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 15 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -13\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence,  $\text{Ker}(T) = \{\underline{0}\}$ .

(d) Since all the columns of the reduced matrix contain a leading one, all the columns of the matrix  $A$  form a basis of  $\text{Im}(T)$ .

10.19 (a) Note that

$$MP = [M\underline{p}_1 \quad M\underline{p}_2 \quad M\underline{p}_3] = [\underline{p}_1 \quad 0.85\underline{p}_2 \quad 0.75\underline{p}_3]$$

and, if  $\underline{d}_i$  denotes the  $i$ th column of  $D$ ,

$$PD = [P\underline{d}_1 \quad P\underline{d}_2 \quad P\underline{d}_3] = [\underline{p}_1 \quad 0.85\underline{p}_2 \quad 0.75\underline{p}_3].$$

(b) For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we introduce the statement  $\mathcal{P}(n)$ :  $M^n = PD^n P^{-1}$ .

(1) According to part (a) and the invertibility of matrix  $P$ ,

$$MP = PD \implies (MP)P^{-1} = (PD)P^{-1} \implies MPP^{-1} = PDP^{-1} \implies M = PDP^{-1}.$$

Hence, the statement  $\mathcal{P}(1)$  is true:  $M = PDP^{-1}$ .

(2) Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume that  $\mathcal{P}(k)$  is true, that is:  $M^k = PD^k P^{-1}$ .

Then

$$M^{k+1} = M^k M = [PD^k P^{-1}][PDP^{-1}] = PD^k P^{-1} PDP^{-1} = PD^k DP^{-1} = PD^{k+1} P^{-1}.$$

This proves that  $\mathcal{P}(k+1)$  is true.

According to the Principle of Induction, the statement  $\mathcal{P}(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(c) As  $D$  is a diagonal matrix,

$$D^n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.85^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.75^n \end{bmatrix}.$$

As  $M^n = PD^nP^{-1}$ , we first determine the matrices  $P$  and  $P^{-1}$ .

By definition,  $P = [\underline{p}_1 \ \underline{p}_2 \ \underline{p}_3]$ , where  $\underline{p}_1, \underline{p}_2$  and  $\underline{p}_3$  are the eigenvectors of the matrix  $M$ . According to the example,

$$\underline{p}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As  $\underline{p}_2$  is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda = 0.85$ , we find  $\underline{p}_2$  by solving the system  $(M - 0.85I)\underline{x} = \underline{0}$ . Reduction leads to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.10 & 0.10 & 0.10 \\ 0.05 & -0.05 & 0.05 \\ 0 & 0.1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So,

$$\underline{p}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As  $\underline{p}_3$  is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda = 0.75$ , we find  $\underline{p}_3$  by solving the system  $(M - 0.75I)\underline{x} = \underline{0}$ . Reduction leads to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.20 & 0.10 & 0.10 \\ 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.05 \\ 0 & 0.1 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So,

$$\underline{p}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In order to find the inverse of the matrix  $P$  we reduce the matrix  $[P \ I]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1.5 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} &\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 5 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & -5 & 0 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & -6 & -5 & 1 & 0 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{5}{3} & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{5}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} & 0 & \frac{5}{6} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{5}{3} & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{5}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{6} \end{bmatrix} \\ &\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{5}{3} & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{5} & -\frac{4}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{15} & \frac{2}{15} & \frac{2}{15} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{5} & -\frac{4}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

As

$$P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{15} & \frac{2}{15} & \frac{2}{15} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{5} & -\frac{4}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 M^n = PD^nP^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -0.85^n & 0 \\ \frac{3}{2} & 0 & -0.75^2 \\ 1 & 0.85^n & 0.75^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{15} & \frac{2}{15} & \frac{2}{15} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{5} & -\frac{4}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \times 0.85^n & \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \times 0.85^n & \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \times 0.85^n \\ \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{5} \times 0.75^n & \frac{1}{5} + \frac{4}{5} \times 0.75^n & \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{5} \times 0.75^n \\ \frac{2}{15} - \frac{1}{3} \times 0.85^n + \frac{1}{5} \times 0.75^n & \frac{2}{15} + \frac{2}{3} \times 0.85^n - \frac{4}{5} \times 0.75^n & \frac{2}{15} + \frac{2}{3} \times 0.85^n + \frac{1}{5} \times 0.75^n \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

10.20 As  $A = PDP^{-1}$  for some invertible matrix  $P$  and some diagonal matrix  $D$ , it follows that  $AP = PD$ .

If we indicate the columns of matrix  $P$  by  $\underline{p}_1, \underline{p}_2, \dots, \underline{p}_n$  and if we assume that  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the numbers on the main diagonal of  $D$ , then it holds that

$$AP = [A\underline{p}_1 \quad A\underline{p}_2 \quad \cdots \quad A\underline{p}_n]$$

and

$$PD = [\lambda_1 \underline{p}_1 \quad \lambda_2 \underline{p}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \lambda_n \underline{p}_n].$$

From this it follows that

$$A\underline{p}_1 = \lambda_1 \underline{p}_1, \quad A\underline{p}_2 = \lambda_2 \underline{p}_2, \dots, A\underline{p}_n = \lambda_n \underline{p}_n.$$

Since  $P$  is invertible, none of the columns of  $P$  is a zero vector. This means that  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  are eigenvalues of  $A$ , and that  $\underline{p}_1, \underline{p}_2, \dots, \underline{p}_n$  are the corresponding eigenvectors of  $A$ . Since  $P$  is invertible, Theorem 3 implies that the columns of  $P$  are linearly independent. Therefore matrix  $A$  has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors.